# Highland Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

# Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Highland Elementary School			
Street	2829 Moyers Road			
City, State, Zip	Richmond, CA 94806-2728			
Phone Number	(510) 231-1424			
Principal	David Ranch			
E-mail Address	dranch@wccusd.net			
Web Site	www.wccusd.net/Page/933			
CDS Code	07-61796-6004741			

District Contact Information			
District Name	West Contra Costa Unified School District		
Phone Number	(510) 231-1100		
Superintendent	Matthew Duffy		
E-mail Address	matthew.duffy@wccusd.net		
Web Site	www.wccusd.net		

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Highland's mission is that all students will learn, develop social skills, and maximize their potential in a safe and academically rigorous school environment. Students will be provided with a variety of academic and social experiences that encourage mindset growth and extend their capacity to be the best that they can be.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	72
Grade 1	80
Grade 2	78
Grade 3	64
Grade 4	70
Grade 5	60
Grade 6	60
Total Enrollment	484

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	24
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	11.4
Filipino	2.7
Hispanic or Latino	54.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.2
White	3.7
Two or More Races	1.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	89
English Learners	43.4
Students with Disabilities	11.8
Foster Youth	1.2

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- · Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## **Teacher Credentials**

		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	19	22	20	20
Without Full Credential	1	3	2	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

# Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	94.7	5.3				
All Schools in District	93.7	6.3				
High-Poverty Schools in District	93.5	6.5				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	97.2	2.8				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: November 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, California Treasures (TK-6) / 2012	Yes	0%
Mathematics	McGraw-Hill, My Math (TK-5) / 2016 Macmillan-McGraw Hill, Everyday Math (gr 6) / 2008 or McGraw Hill, Math Course 1 (gr 6) / 2016	Yes	0%
Science	Scott Foresman, Science (K-6) / 2008	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Macmillan/McGraw Hill, California Vistas (gr K-6) / 2007	Yes	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Highland School was built in 1957 and since then fifteen portable classrooms have been added. The School Site Council has voted to replace the existing play structure on the main playground. Replacement was completed spring 2010.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Rece	<u> </u>	ad Damaiu (	`tatus / N/1 a	ot Decemt Veen
	-		-	st Recent Year) report: July 2016
	Repair Status			Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good Fair Poor		Poor	Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х	Broken table needs to be replace in room 16 Broken floor tiles at back door of room 7
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х			
Electrical: Electrical	Х			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х			Unplug drain in room 3
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			Patch and paint hole from door handle and install door stop in the MPR
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х			Paint outdoor tables near cafeteria

# **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2016							
Occupil Bating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating		Х					

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		Dist	trict	State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	42 44		33	35	44	48
Mathematics	31	32	23	25	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	67	65	97.0	41.5	
	4	69	69	100.0	40.6	
	5	62	60	96.8	41.7	
	6	62	60	96.8	55.0	
Male	3	39	37	94.9	37.8	
	4	32	32	100.0	34.4	
	5	24	23	95.8	34.8	
	6	29	28	96.5	53.6	
Female	3	28	28	100.0	46.4	
	4	37	37	100.0	46.0	
	5	38	37	97.4	46.0	
	6	33	32	97.0	56.3	
Black or African American	3	14	14	100.0	28.6	
	4	13	13	100.0	30.8	
	5	14	13	92.9	38.5	
	6	19	18	94.7	38.9	
Asian	3					
	4					

		Number (	of Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	5				
	6				
Filipino	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
Hispanic or Latino	3	41	39	95.1	41.0
	4	42	42	100.0	47.6
	5	36	35	97.2	45.7
	6	30	30	100.0	63.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	5				
Islander	6				
White	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
Two or More Races	3				
	5				
	6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	65	63	96.9	42.9
	4	69	69	100.0	40.6
	5	58	57	98.3	42.1
	6	59	57	96.6	54.4
English Learners	3	31	29	93.5	17.2
	4	19	19	100.0	10.5
	5	17	16	94.1	18.8
	6				
Students with Disabilities	3				
	4				
	5				
	6	11	10	90.9	20.0
Foster Youth	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	langed Cummet	ive Assessment and the C	AA The "Dersont Met or F	"veceded" is calculated by	

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Grades Three through Eight and			of Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	67	66	98.5	47.0
	4	69	69	100.0	24.6
	5	62	60	96.8	22.0
	6	62	61	98.4	32.8
Male	3	39	38	97.4	47.4
	4	32	32	100.0	31.3
	5	24	24	100.0	21.7
	6	29	29	100.0	31.0
Female	3	28	28	100.0	46.4
	4	37	37	100.0	18.9
	5	38	36	94.7	22.2
	6	33	32	97.0	34.4
Black or African American	3	14	14	100.0	35.7
	4	13	13	100.0	7.7
	5	14	12	85.7	8.3
	6	19	18	94.7	11.1
Asian	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
Filipino	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
Hispanic or Latino	3	41	40	97.6	47.5
	4	42	42	100.0	33.3
	5	36	36	100.0	28.6
	6	30	30	100.0	50.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	5				
	6				
White	3				
	4				

		Number o	f Students	Percent o	f Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	5				
	6				
Two or More Races	3				
	5				
	6				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	65	64	98.5	48.4
	4	69	69	100.0	24.6
	5	58	57	98.3	23.2
	6	59	58	98.3	34.5
English Learners	3	31	30	96.8	36.7
	4	19	19	100.0	10.5
	5	17	17	100.0	5.9
	6				
Students with Disabilities	3				
	4				
	5				
	6	11	10	90.9	30.0
Foster Youth	3				
	4				
	5				
	6			<b></b>	

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

					coring at P				
Subject		School		District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	70	70	42	48	46	40	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	62	59	95.2	42.4
Male	24	23	95.8	39.1
Female	38	36	94.7	44.4
Black or African American	14	12	85.7	25.0
Hispanic or Latino	36	35	97.2	51.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	56	96.6	42.9
English Learners	17	16	94.1	25.0

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards					
5	18.3	23.3	26.7				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

## **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

# Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

- SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL: Highland's School Site Council meets the last Friday of most months. SSC members are elected by written ballot in the fall of each year for one year terms. However, all parents are always welcome at every SSC meeting. The SSC is responsible for input, approval, and monitoring of the Single Plan for Student Achievement. Current information about SSC activities can be found on the Parent Bulletin Board at the front entrance of the school.
- ENGLISH LEARNER ADVISORY COMMITTEE: The ELAC advises the Principal on matters related to English Learners, as well as
  other topics of interest to the members such as attendance and school climate. ELAC meets the first Friday of every month at
  8:45 AM.
- GATE Parent Group: GATE parents learn about the GATE program, advise the principal and teachers about their interests, and learn about ways to support GATE students at home. The GATE Parent Group meets two times per year.
- PARENT CLUB: The Parent Club supports a positive school climate, primarily through community events. Parent Club plans and provides volunteers for fundraising events, for school events, such as Holiday Craft Night, Literacy Night, Math Night, and parent education events. Our Parent Club meets the first Friday of every month at 8:45 AM.
- PARENT SURVEY: Every year a Parent Survey is distributed to all families to solicit input on Parent Involvement/Communication, School Safety, and Instructional Programs.
- SATURDAY SCHOOL: Two Saturdays per month starting in January the principal holds Saturday School. Parents are welcome to
  attend in order to assist them in promoting grade level appropriate work habits for their children.
- African American Parent Group: Meets the second Tuesday evening of each month.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- · Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Data	School				District			State		
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Suspensions	2.0	2.2	2.5	6.6	6.2	6.3	4.4	3.8	3.7	
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Approved January 2015 by Elizabeth MontesNation - Coordinator, Disaster Preparedness and Safety

Date of Last Review/Update October 2016. Date Last Discussed with Staff August 2016. The Earthquake/Safety Committee meets once each trimester to review our emergency plan, update the plan, and purchase supplies. Parents are informed at the beginning of the school year of disaster procedures. Notices are sent home to inform them of where to locate their children in an emergency, which supplies their children need to bring to school, and a separate emergency form for releasing students. The staff is notified at the beginning of the year of their roles in an emergency. The school wide plan outlines jobs and procedures. One staff meeting is provided to train and acquaint staff with their duties and equipment. Earthquake drills are held twice a year. We also have one Shelter-in-Place drill. Fire drills are held monthly. Staff debriefs after drills to make changes in procedures as needed. Detailed protocols for addressing lock downs due to chemical spills and intruders were developed through the Shared Decision Making Process. Adult supervision is provided during all recess periods and before and after school. We also have a student-to-student conflict mediation program. Visitors check into the office and receive a visitor pass when they come onto our campus. Parent input was elicited at a series of Town Hall meeting in the fall of 2015.

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2013-2014	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 1	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	20
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	71.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)** 

		201	3-14			2014-15				201	5-16	
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	22	1	3		21	1	3		24		3	
1	25		3		23		3		25		3	
2	28		3		23		3		25		3	
3	24		3		25		3		22		3	
4	33			2	33			2	32		1	2
5	33			2	33		1	1	33			1
6	22	1	2		26		2		31		2	
Other									11	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.20	N/A
Psychologist	.45	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.00	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.00	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	6016.99	1733.38	4283.61	66828.96
District	N/A	N/A	6412.40	65071.41
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-33.2	2.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,837
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-24.5	-11.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Programs and services available at Highland School include:

CENTRAL SUPPLEMNTL/CONCENTRATION
IASA-TITLE I BASIC
SP ED IDEA BASIC LOCAL ENTITL
HEALTHY START-ASLSNPP
SPECIAL ED - E
SPED STATE LOCAL ASST GRANT
MEDI-CAL ADMIN ACTIVITIES
SITE SUPPLEMNTL/CONCENTRATION

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$38,699	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$59,640	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,951	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$91,385	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$96,869	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$108,183	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$231,795	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	31%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

# **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Quality instruction and instructional leadership are at the heart of the district's achievement efforts. To ensure this, the Academic Support Division engages teachers, administrators and other personnel in ongoing professional development focused on improving teaching and learning. Training is provided to principals and other administrators to improve their ability to provide strong instructional leadership and guide teaching and learning at school sites. The principals, in turn, provide support to teachers through staff conferences, opportunities for collaborative study and planning, and individual coaching based on ongoing classroom observations. Teachers and other staff are provided with both on and off-site professional development in all standards based curriculum content areas. Beyond the content areas, professional development is provided in English Language Development, Gifted and Talented Education (GATE), differentiated instruction and educational technology. In addition, training is made available in classroom management and the effective use of assessment data and teacher data teams in meeting the needs of all students. A primary goal of the professional development program is ensuring that all students are served by skilled, highly qualified teachers and that teachers are supported by strong, knowledgeable instructional leaders. Highland teachers participate in the District provided staff development opportunities. We also have on-site staff development based on a needs survey of teachers. Beginning in the fall of 2014, teachers have engaged in grade level cluster meetings (vertical articulation) and peer observation. CCSS focus areas this year include academic discourse and authentic, SBAC like assessment. In the fall of 2015, teachers began professional development modules around Lucy Calkins' writing program. In January, our work with Growth Mindset will be supported by the Corps of Women Engineers.